

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/522,074	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SLEEP, DARRELL	
	<b>Examiner</b> SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE	<b>Art Unit</b> 1654	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE.

(2) Mr. Mark Segal.

**Date of Interview:** 28 October 2008

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**  
 Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:  
1 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**  
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative Mr. Segal was contacted with a proposed examiner's amendment to incorporate the limitations of claim 11 into claim 1 to place the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Segal was again contacted on 10/30/08 to learn that the proposed amendment was not acceptable to the applicants. .